

Disease Modifying Therapies for Relapsing-Remitting and Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: Effectiveness and Value

Draft Questions for Deliberation: February 16, 2017 Public Meeting

Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis: Clinical Evidence						
Ord	al Agents					
1.	For patients with RRMS, is the evidence adequate to demonstrate that the <i>net health benefit</i> of <i>dimethyl fumarate (Tecfidera®, Biogen Inc.)</i> is greater than that of <i>fingolimod (Gilenya®, Novartis, Inc)</i> ?					
		Yes	No			
2.	For patients with RRMS, is the evidence adequate to demonstrate that the <i>net health benefit</i> dimethyl fumarate is greater than that or teriflunomide 14 mg (Aubagio®, Sanofi-Regeneror Inc.)?					
		Yes	No			
3.	•		e evidence adequate to demonstrate that the <i>net health benefit</i> of at or <i>teriflunomide 14 mg</i> ? No			
Em	erging Agents					
4.	For patients with RRMS, is the evidence adequate to demonstrate that the <i>net health benefit</i> of <i>daclizumab (Zinbryta™, Biogen Inc.)</i> is greater than that of <i>dimethyl fumarate or fingolimod</i> ? Yes No					
5.	For patients with RRMS, is the evidence adequate to demonstrate that the <i>net health benefit</i> of <i>daclizumab</i> is greater than that of <i>generic glatiramer acetate 20 mg (Glatopa®, Sandoz, Inc.)</i> ? Yes No					
6.	•		e evidence adequate to demonstrate that the <i>net health benefit</i> of <i>e Genentech Inc.)</i> is greater than that of <i>generic glatiramer</i>			
		Voc	No			



			DRNIA TECHNOLOGY SMENT FORUM			
7.	•	rferon beta-1a	quate to demonstrate that that the <i>net health</i> a 44 mcg (Rebif®, EMD Serono Inc.) is greater than D mcg (Avonex®, Biogen Inc.)?			
Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis: Long-term Value for Money						
8.	. Given the available evidence for patients with RRMS, what is the <i>long-term value for money</i> of treatment with <i>daclizumab</i> versus treatment with <i>generic glatiramer acetate 20 mg?</i> a. Low b. Intermediate c. High					
9.	Given the available evidence for patients with RRMS, what is the <i>long-term value for money</i> of					

Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: Clinical Evidence

10. For patients with primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS), is the evidence adequate to demonstrate that the net health benefit of treatment with ocrelizumab is greater than that of best supportive care?

the treatment with ocrelizumab versus treatment with generic glatiramer acetate 20 mg?

c. High

Yes No

Primary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: Long-term Value for Money

11. Given the available evidence for patients with PPMS, what is the *long-term value for money* of treatment with *ocrelizumab* versus *best supportive care*?

a. Low

a. Low

b. Intermediate

b. Intermediate

c. High