

Fezolinetant for Moderate to Severe Vasomotor Symptoms Associated with Menopause

Draft Questions for Deliberation and Voting: December 16, 2022 Public Meeting

These questions are intended for the deliberation of the Midwest CEPAC voting body at the public meeting.

Patient population for all questions: Women seeking relief from vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause.

Clin

| ' | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| nic | al Evic | lence | | | | | | |
| 1. | Is the currently available evidence adequate to demonstrate that the net health benefit of fezolinetant is superior to that provided by no pharmacologic treatment (prescription nor non-prescription) for vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause? | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | No | | | | | | |
| 2. | Is the currently available evidence adequate to distinguish the net health benefit between fezolinetant and menopausal hormone therapy for vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause? | | | | | | | |
| | Yes | No | | | | | | |
| | a. | Is the currently available evidence adequate to demonstrate that the net health benefit of fezolinetant is superior to that provided by menopausal hormone therapy for vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause? | | | | | | |
| | | Yes | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Contextual Considerations and Potential Other Benefits or Disadvantages

Please vote on the following contextual considerations:

When making judgments of overall long-term value for money, what is the relative priority that should be given to <u>any</u> effective treatment for vasomotor symptoms associated with menopause, on the basis of the following contextual considerations:

1= Very low priority; 2 = Low priority; 3 = Average priority; 4 = High priority; 5 = Very high priority

- 3. Acuity of need for treatment of individual patients based on short-term risk of death or progression to permanent disability
- 4. Magnitude of the lifetime impact on individual patients of the condition being treated

Please vote on the following potential other benefits or disadvantages:

What are the relative effects of fezolinetant versus no pharmacologic treatment (prescription nor non-prescription) on the following outcomes that inform judgment of the overall long-term value for money of fezolinetant?

1= Major negative effect; 2 = Minor negative effect; 3 = No difference; 4 = Minor positive effect; 5 = Major positive effect

- 5. Patients' ability to achieve major life goals related to education, work, or family life
- 6. Caregivers' quality of life and/or ability to achieve major life goals related to education, work, or family life
- 7. Society's goal of reducing health inequities

Long-Term Value for Money

- 8. Given the available evidence on comparative effectiveness and incremental cost-effectiveness, and considering other benefits, disadvantages, and contextual considerations, what is the long-term value for money of treatment at assumed pricing with fezolinetant versus no pharmacologic treatment (prescription nor non-prescription) for vasomotor symptoms?*
 - a. Low long-term value for money at assumed pricing
 - b. Intermediate long-term value for money at assumed pricing
 - c. High long-term value for money at assumed pricing

^{*}This vote will only be taken if a price becomes available for fezolinetant.