

Semaglutide and Tirzepatide for Obesity

Draft Questions for Deliberation and Voting: November 13th Public Meeting

These questions are intended for the deliberation of the New England CEPAC voting body at the public meeting.

Patient Population for all questions: Adults with obesity or adults with overweight in the presence of at least one weight-related comorbid condition, who are actively seeking medical management for weight loss; adults with established diabetes are excluded.

Clinical Evidence

1.	Is the currently available evidence adequate to distinguish the net health benefit <i>between</i> tirzepatide and injectable semaglutide?		
	Yes	No	
	a. If "Yes	a. If "Yes", which has a greater net health benefit?	
2.		s the currently available evidence adequate to distinguish the net health benefit between oral semaglutide and injectable semaglutide?	
	Yes	No	
	a. If "Yes", whi	If "Yes", which has a greater net health benefit?	

Benefits Beyond Health and Special Ethical Priorities

To help inform judgments of overall long-term value for money, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

1=Strongly Disagree; 2=Disagree; 3=Neutral; 4=Agree; 5=Strongly Agree

- 3. There is substantial unmet need despite currently available treatments.
- 4. This condition is of substantial relevance for people from a racial/ethnic group that has not been equitably served by the healthcare system.

To help inform judgments of overall long-term value for money, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:

1=Strongly Disagree; 2=Disagree; 3=Neutral; 4=Agree; 5=Strongly Agree

- 5. Injectable semaglutide, compared with lifestyle modification alone, is likely to produce substantial improvement in caregivers' quality of life and/or ability to pursue their own education, work, and family life.
- 6. Oral semaglutide, compared with lifestyle modification alone, is likely to produce substantial improvement in caregivers' quality of life and/or ability to pursue their own education, work, and family life.
- 7. Tirzepatide, compared with lifestyle modification alone, is likely to produce substantial improvement in caregivers' quality of life and/or ability to pursue their own education, work, and family life.
- 8. Oral semaglutide offers a substantial opportunity to improve access to effective treatment by means of its mechanism of action or method of delivery.

Long-Term Value for Money

- 9. Given the available evidence on comparative clinical effectiveness and incremental cost effectiveness, and considering benefits beyond health and special ethical priorities, what is the long-term value for money of injectable semaglutide added onto lifestyle modification compared to lifestyle modification alone at current pricing?
 - a. High long-term value for money at current pricing
 - b. Intermediate long-term value for money at current pricing
 - c. Low long-term value for money at current pricing
- 10. Given the available evidence on comparative clinical effectiveness and incremental cost effectiveness, and considering benefits beyond health and special ethical priorities, what is the long-term value for money of oral semaglutide added onto lifestyle modification compared to lifestyle modification alone at assumed pricing?
 - d. High long-term value for money at assumed pricing

- e. Intermediate long-term value for money at assumed pricing
- f. Low long-term value for money at assumed pricing
- 11. Given the available evidence on comparative clinical effectiveness and incremental cost effectiveness, and considering benefits beyond health and special ethical priorities, what is the long-term value for money of tirzepatide added onto lifestyle modification compared to lifestyle modification alone at current pricing?
 - g. High long-term value for money at current pricing
 - h. Intermediate long-term value for money at current pricing
 - i. Low long-term value for money at current pricing